## **BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK SUMMARY**

Quarter 1 / 2023



Ref	Executive Owner	Priciple Risk	Residual Risk	Target Risk	Strength of Controls	Strength of Assurance	Key	Performance Indi	cators
BAF001	Chief Nurse & Chief Medical Officer	There is a risk that the Trust fails to deliver constant safe, high-quality care.	16	12	Amber	Amber	Harm free care	Detiorating patinet dashboard	Board Level Metrics
	Officer	constant safe, flight-quality care.					Quality & Safety (Fundamentals of Care) metrics	CQC Assurance & Compliance Report	Safety dashboards
BAF002	Chief Finance Officer	There is a risk that the Trust fails to make best strategic use of its resources	20	4	Amber	Red	Financial Performance reporting	EAS Report	Internal Audit Reports
							Model Hospital data	Monthly Finance Report	Digital Strategy Reports
BAF003	Chief People Officer	There is a risk that the Trust fails to recruit,	16	4	Amber	Amber	Staff survey	POD Metrics	Vacancy Data
		retain, train, and develop an engaged and effective workforce					WRES & WDES Data	Culture Heat Map	Retention data
BAF004	Chief Integration	There is a risk that the Trust fails to deliver	12	8	Red	Amber	IC Metrics	MMUH Metrics	Virtual Wards Data
	Officer/MD of Core	on its ambitions as an integrated care							
	Organisation	organisation							
BAF005	Managing Director MMUH	There is a risk that the Trust fails to deliver	16	12	Amber	Amber	PMO reporting	MMUH Metrics	MMUH Financial Report
	Programme Company	the MMUH benefits case					Construction Reports	Rightsizing Data	Workforce Data

Principle Risk

Executive owner(s)



Overseeing Committee

Chief Nurse & Chief  There is a risk that the Trust fails to deliver constant safe, high-quality care.								Quality and Safety Committee					
Me	edical Officer					Date of last Committee review							
								Jun-23					
	ificant risks to achieving this objective								7	Associated risks on CRR			
	•				patient care across the Trust resulting in substantial in		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			4754 , 1762, 3831, 666, 4019, 5170, 5161			
	_	-	-	_	ents/incidents/complaints and evidencing improved in a lack of ability to deliver safe care, effective outc								
]]3. "	insufficient capacity and appropriately	Skilled WOLKI	iorce potent	lially resulting	in a lack of ability to deliver safe care, effective outc	Joine	s and organisational objectives.						
I —	controls currently in place to manage the risk Fundamentals of Care Framework	to achieving this	s objective				y assurances relating to effectiveness of the controls. Either (+) or (- Quality & Safety (Fundamentals of Care) metrics	•)					
	Corporate, Directorate and Service str	uctures, acco	untability &	quality gover	nance arrangements at Trust.		Internal and External Audit						
	ncident reporting and investigation po			quant, goron	gemente de masti		CQC Assurance & Compliance Reporting						
	, ,	·											
Sign	ificant gaps in current controls					Are	eas where further assurance on effectiveness of controls is required						
	ack of assurance of embedding learn	ng and comp	laince with a	action plans			Buy in and engagement from staff on the the Fundimer						
2. R	Recruitment/retention an ongoing issu	ie				2.	Out of date policies and procedures and timecales for o	completion					
3. F	Funding for the CQI not clear					3.	3. Patient safety incident response plan and framework to be implimented.						
				_	l l			•					
Risk	Assessment Co	n Lik	Risk Score			Strength of controls Amber			Controls & Assurances				
Inhe	erent Risk Assessment (04-22)	4	16							No gaps in controls or assurances			
Resid	dual Risk Assessment (06-23)		16							Some gaps in controls or assurances			
Targ	get Risk Assessment	3	12						Red	Significant gaps in controls or assurances			
Key	actions to achieve objective					Add	ditional key actions required to mitigate risks or improve assurance						
Actio	on		Lead	Target Date	Progress	Act	tion	Lead	Target Date	Progress			
	The new CQC Self-Assessment Frame	work to be			Self-assessments being undertaken by groups.		Ensure all out of date policies are reviewed and			Action plan underway and target dates being			
	implemented.						updated.			established for all out of date policies			
1			CGO	Sep-23	Triangulation dashboard being developed.	1		CGO	Oct-23				
-	Francisco that the Fire decreases at a of Co.		<u> </u>	+	Communication of the delicer class for the	⊩	Education Decomposes for some mathematical for shelf						
	Ensure that the Fundamentals of Car implemented, understood through a				Communication of the delivery plan for the Fundamentals of Care to be agreed and		Education Programme for career pathways for staff to be established which includes leadership and						
	engagement plan.	11			implemented		preceptorship.			Draft education strategy developed.			
_	engagement plan.		CNIO	Mar 24	Implemented	Ш,	ргесертоголир.	CNO & CPO	D 22				
2			CNO	Mar-24		IJź		CNO & CPO	Dec-23	Leadership programme pilot completed and			
										programme commenced			
	Patient Safety Incident Response Fra	mework	<u> </u>	+	PSIRF Implimentation plan presented and agreed	╟	Capacity for the whole organisation to be developed			Board, Executive and TMC development prioritsied			
	(PSIRF) to be implimented.				at the May Q&S Meeting. This set out the		in the improvement system.			alongside the development of the academy and the			
	,				milstones to September 2023		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			review of our metrics planning as part of the			
3			CGO	Sep-23		3		CSO	Sep-23	proposed initial phase of the plan of we are given the			
										go ahead.			



Executive owner(s) Overseeing Committee Chief Finance Officer There is a risk that the Trust fails to make best strategic use of its resources Finance, Investment & Performance Committee Date of last Committee review Jun-23 Associated risks on CRR Significant risks to achieving this objective 1. ICB system's deficit results in a negative financial impact to the Trust 2. Failure to deliver financial plan and maintain financial sustainability. 3. Cost Improvement Plan that reflects the Trust's commitment Key controls currently in place to manage the risk to achieving this objective Key assurances relating to effectiveness of the controls. Either (+) or (-) 1. Long Term Finance Strategy and associated delivery plan 1. Reporting against the delivery of Plan 2. Monitoring of performance related requirements 2. CFO engagement across ICS and Regional footprints 3. Incident reporting and investigation policies and procedures. 3. Key Performance Indicators Significant gaps in current controls Areas where further assurance on effectiveness of controls is required 1. Incomplete or poorly implemented sustainability and efficiency and productivity plans 1. Shortfall in digital funding 2. Uncertainty in relation to economic outlook and impact of public sector, 2. Gaps in establishment controls driving budgetary variances 3. Failure to return financial grip to the system 3. MMUH - complete affordability model, secure funding sources Risk Assessment Con Lik Risk Score Strength of controls Amber Controls & Assurances 4 No gaps in controls or assurances 4 16 nherent Risk Assessment (04-22) Green Residual Risk Assessment (06-23) 4 5 20 Strength of assurance Amber Some gaps in controls or assurances 4 3 Target Risk Assessment 12 Significant gaps in controls or assurances Additional key actions required to mitigate risks or improve assurance Key actions to achieve objective Lead **Target Date** Progress Lead Target Date Progress Develop and implement costing strategy and plan 2 specialties to begin, Emergency Care and Model Hospital data agreed and reported on The Use of Resources Report due to FIPC Obstetrics through FIP to identify opportunities for financial aligned to system providers Sep-23 1 improvement. CFO CFO Oct-23 SWB Head of Costing is the Chair of the System Costing Group Review arrangements for efficiency and productivity Improve workforce controls impacting on budgetary control 22/23 Plan realigned to include finance and workforce plans CFO & CFO Jul-23 Aug-23 The Executive pillars of productivity work underway CPO Enhanced budgetary controls through Need to complete the HFMA and approve the accountability framework action plan CFO Jul-23

Exe	ecutive owner(s) Principle Risk									Overseeing Committee					
Ch	ief People Officer	Т	here is a	risk tha	at the Trus	t fails to rec	ruit, retain, train, and develop an engaged and	effe	ective workforce.	People & OD Committee					
										Date of last Committee review					
										Jun-23					
Significant risks to achieving this objective  1. Trust is unable to deliver the priorities set out in the People Plan.											7	Associated risks on CRR 1762, 4754, 3831, 5170, 5227, 5166			
	oss of workforce produc						ilahility					1702, 4734, 3631, 3170, 3227, 3100			
							iccession planning, organisational development and	lead	ership development						
Kev	controls currently in place to	manage the	risk to achie	eving this	obiective			Kev	assurances relating to effectiveness of the controls. Either (+) or (-)		1				
	The People Plan			0					POD metrics	·					
2. 1	MMUH workforce delive	ry plans						2.	Recruitment Dashbaord						
3. I	Provider Collaborative ac	ction plan	agreeing t	the top 4	4 priorities			3.	WRES and WDES reporting						
Sign	ificant gaps in current control	ls						Are	as where further assurance on effectiveness of controls is required						
	Robust plan on long term								Just and Learning Culture implementation						
	Financial and workforce Visibility and ownership			to take a	acount of CII	P and workto	rce reprofiling		MMUH Workstream delivery requirements and alignments and stignments of the organization of the organizatio			thurave			
J3. '	visibility and ownership	or the EDI	agenua					3.	Structuru talent management programme for the organ	misation miked to credible career	иечеторитети ра	uiways			
Rick	: Assessment	Г	Con	Lik	Risk Score	1	'	•	Strength of controls Amber			Controls & Assurances			
l 1	erent Risk Assessment (04-22)		4	4	16						Green	No gaps in controls or assurances			
-	idual Risk Assessment (06-23)		4	4	16				Strength of assurance Amber		Amber	Some gaps in controls or assurances			
-	get Risk Assessment		4	3	12						Red	Significant gaps in controls or assurances			
		•	•			•					<u> </u>				
<b>Key</b> Acti	actions to achieve objective				Lead	Target Date	Progress	Act	ditional key actions required to mitigate risks or improve assurance	Lead	Target Date	Progress			
-	People and OD Target (	Onerating	Model to	he	Leau	raiget Date	TOM, vision and staffing structures developed	ACC	Safe Staffing data to be presented at POD.	Leau	Target Date	Flugicus			
	developed.	Operating	wioder to	DC			Tolvi, vision and stanning structures developed		Sale Starring data to be presented at 1 OD.						
							Stabilisation programme for underperforming								
							areas in place and being monitored and reported								
1					СРО	Sep-23	via POD.	1		CNO	TBC				
							Business case currently being developed to								
							support request for additional investment into								
							POD.								
	robust work plan to be						High level plan developed and socialised with		Financial and workforce plan to take acount of CIP						
	milestones and KPIs to	support d	elivery of	the			Workforce Transformation and Development		and workforce reprofiling						
	People Plan						Group								
							People Plan reporting now integrated within POD				I .	Workforce Plan linked to finance and activity			
					cno	Con 22	Facility Discourse	2		CFO	I	developed as aprt of annual plan submission.			
2					СРО	Sep-23		2		CFU	Jul-23	Plan is being updated to take account of CIP's and			
							Granular consolidated plan across all delivery				I .	workforce reprofiling			
							workstreams of the People Plan to be developed								
							to support delivery oversight and programme monitoring								
							inionitoring								
	EDI Strategy to be agre	ed and im	plemente	ed			Development programme commissioned for EDI		Development of a talent management framework						
							Team to include coaching and development to		for the organisation						
							strengthen the function and support a proactive								
							approach to leading EDI priorities for the Trust								
1					000		Staff network development programme	1		200					
3					СРО	Sep-23	commissioned to support the review and ovehaul	3		СРО	Mar-25				
							of staff networks this includes supporting the								
							network chairs and executive sponsors								
							.Programme to commence in July 23.								
	I				1	1	I .		, I	i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	1	1			

Executive owner(s)	Principle Risk						Overseeing Committee					
Chief Integration	There is a risk th	nat the Tru	st fails to de	liver on its ambitions as an integrated care or	rganisation Integration Committee				nittee			
Officer/MD of Core												
Organisation												
							Jun-23					
Significant risks to achieving	this objective								Associated risks on CRR			
1 Inadequate or inappr	opriate foundations for effecti	ve collabora	tive working a	cross the system (Sandwell)				1	TBC			
	of a shared plan across health a											
3. Poor support from p	rimary care and added challen	ge from othe	er providers o	utside of the place								
Key controls currently in pla	ce to manage the risk to achieving thi	s objective			Key	assurances relating to effectiveness of the controls. Either (+) or (-	)		'			
1. Health & Wellbeing I	Board aligned Strategy				1.	IC Metrics IC Metrics						
2. Place operational de	livery plans				2. :	Sandwell Health and Care Partnership Reports						
3. Trusts primary care s	strategy & delivery plan				3.	Ladywood and Perry Barr Partnership Reports						
Significant gaps in current co	ontrols				Are	as where further assurance on effectiveness of controls is required						
1. Clear view of the hea	alth inequalities for the populat	ion we serv	e		1.5	Single operating plan for the partners working jointly in	Ladywood & Perry Barr Locality					
	GP's to the delivery of place					Board level metrics for Green Delivery Plan						
	ed on the needs of the populat	ion			3.	Regeneration delivery plan						
					Ш							
Risk Assessment	Con Lik	Risk Score	1			Strength of controls Red			Controls & Assurances			
Inherent Risk Assessment (04	4-22) 4 4	16						Green	No gaps in controls or assurances			
Residual Risk Assessment (06	6-23) 4 3	12	1			Strength of assurance Amber		Amber	Some gaps in controls or assurances			
Target Risk Assessment	4 2	8					L	Red	Significant gaps in controls or assurances			
Key actions to achieve object	ctive	_		<del>,</del>	41 —	ditional key actions required to mitigate risks or improve assurance		_				
Action		Lead	Target Date	Progress	Act		Lead	Target Date	Progress			
_	e for Sandwell with devolved			The BC 5 year forward plan now confirms the	Ш	Develop board level metrics for the Green Delivery			delivery of this years activities on track. Future years			
responsibility to th	e host (SWB) structure.			presence of Place Based Partnerships in the new	Ш	Plan			project plans need to be scheduled up to 2023 and			
				structures with the delegation coming through	Ш				work done to agree deliverable that link to board			
				local managing directors.	Ш				level metrics.			
1		CIO	Jul-23		1		MD of MMUH	Jul-23				
					Ш							
					Ш							
					Ш							
					Ш							
Agree a leadership	and delivery approach with	1		BSOL review of leadership in each locality now	╢⊢	Longer term regeneration plan devlopment						
	gham for the Ladywood and			started and expected to complete in May	Ш	zonger term regeneration plan deviopment						
Perry Barr locality.				following a delay due to industrial action. In the	Ш							
				meantime, as reported in last month's	Ш							
				committee, local arrangements with GP's and	Ш				the Tweet has established a newtonewhile with ICLOO to			
		CIO	A 22	BCHC have started to improve confidence in	Ш,		NAD of NANALILI	11.22	the Trust has established a partnership with IGLOO to			
2		CIO	Aug-23	delivery. Metrics associated with measuring	$\ \ ^2$		MD of MMUH	Jul-23	inform thew approach to coproducing a joint development plan.			
				progress will be ready at the same time.	Ш				development plan.			
					Ш			1				
					Ш							



Executive owner(s)	Principle	Risk							Overseeing Committee		
Managing Director			at the Trust fails	to deliver t	he MMUH benefits case				MMUH Opening Committe	P	
MMUH	Intere	a 1151t til	actic must rais	to deliver t	ne minori benema tase	Date of last Committee review					
Programme Company									Jun-23		
-0 · · · · · · /									Juli-25		
Significant risks to achieving this object	ctive										Associated risks on CRR
1. A failure to design and transf	form inpatie	nt and com	nmunity-based clini	cal services						]	5157, 5158, 5159, 5168, 5169, 5160, 5161, 5170, 5163, 5164,
2. Poor programme manageme											5171, 5165, 5166, 5167, 5143, 5227, 5267,
3. A lack of capacity, resource,	and capabil	ty to delive	er and embed susta	inable chang	e on time						
Key controls currently in place to mana	-						•	fectiveness of the controls. Either (+) or	(-)	•	
-	_	readiness	underpinned by rob	oust work str	eam plans that are fully aligned.			with Programme level risks			
<ol><li>Communications and Engage</li><li>Delivery of the MMUH Afford</li></ol>	-						MMUH Metrics PMO reporting				
3. Delivery of the Wilvion Afford	uability Flai					3.	rivio reporting				
Civil							been forther ever				
Significant gaps in current controls  1. 3rd party assurance on integ	rated progr	amma				. —	Benefits tracking	ce on effectiveness of controls is require	a		
2. Lack of strategy and actions			ealth nonulation de	emand chang	zing		•	financial plan impact on affordab	ility		
Certainty of workforce reading				ciliana cilang	0'''6				ır population between Black Coun	try and West Bi	rmingham
·							ū	. ,	• •	•	5
Risk Assessment	Con	Lik	Risk Score	7			Strength of controls	Amber			Controls & Assurances
Inherent Risk Assessment (04-22)	4	4	16						_	Green	No gaps in controls or assurances
Residual Risk Assessment (06-23)	4	3	16				Strength of assurance	Amber		Amber	Some gaps in controls or assurances
Target Risk Assessment	4	2	12					·		Red	Significant gaps in controls or assurances
Key actions to achieve objective						Δd	ditional key actions requir	ed to mitigate risks or improve assuranc	0		
Action			Lead	Target Date	Progress	Act		cu to intigute iono oi improve usourune	Lead	Target Date	Progress
Secure 3rd party assurance	e on integra	ed			The MMUH OC was updated verbally in April 23	ill	Reposition program	nme to be driven by benefits			Following the update in April work continues (to
programme.					of NHPs intention to put in place a Gateway		delivery.				conclude for the June reporting cycle) in establishin
					assurance process for Cohort 1, due to lessons						a delivery and governance framework for benefits.
					learnt from preceding projects in the cohort. The MMUH Programme via the Managing Director						This will include financial and non financial benefits tracking, alignment to the critical success factors an
			CEO	Jul-23	have proposed to share the scoping proposal	<b>II</b> 1			Managing Director MMUH	Jul-23	clarity on roles and responsibilities.
			CLO	341 23	with NHP input via the Transformation Director	III Ť			Programme Company	Jul-23	Sidney on roles and responsionates.
					with a view to potentially joint commission the						
					Gateway review.						
						<b>Ⅱ</b> ⊢					
Establish an effective interf		n the			The Place based pathway level focus remains			e medium terms cost model			
MMUH programme and PL	LACE.				equitable patient pathways in the MMUH Programme is virtual ward pathway for		related to the healt	h population changes.			
					respiratory and frail patients, as well as those						
					patients in care homes requiring acute care						During the next reporting cycle the final plan
2			MMUH Delivery	Aug-23	interventions. , as well as those patients in care	<b>  </b>   ₂			Director of Operational Finance	lul-23	submission assumptions relating to MMUH will be
			Director	7.08 20	homes requiring acute care interventions.	∭╴				341 23	revised to align with the revised practical completic
											date and 2024 opening.
			1	1			1				
irradicate maturity assessm					Transition to new ways of working and resilience						
the Workforce quadrants a	and gain con	fidence in			in both MMUH Programme and the Core						
	and gain con	fidence in			in both MMUH Programme and the Core Organisation continues to be overseen by an						
the Workforce quadrants a	and gain con	fidence in			in both MMUH Programme and the Core Organisation continues to be overseen by an Executive oversight group including the 2						
the Workforce quadrants a	and gain con	fidence in	MMUH Delivery	Jul-23	in both MMUH Programme and the Core Organisation continues to be overseen by an						

Staff network development programme commissioned to support the review and ovehaul of staff networks this includes supporting the network chairs and executive sponsors .Programme to commence in July 23.

# Sandwell and West Birmingham

## **Board Assurance Framework Summary**

Column Heading	Guidance	Example
Ref	A unique identifier for each row should be assigned.	E.g. 1.
Executive Owner	Role that is accountable for the delivery of the specific corporate objective.	E.g. Name and Role.
Corporate / Strategic Objective	Corporate / Strategic Objectives are the in year or longer-term goals for the NHS provider. They are often	This will be specific to SWB, but an example might be 'To be a centre of
		excellence for research and innovation, education and specialist
	functional activities carried out across the provider.	services'.
Residual Risk	The residual risk is the level of risk that remains after efforts to identify and eliminate some or all of the	High/Red : 15-25
	risk. Residual risk should be shown as a risk score, based upon multiplying the consequence by likelihood.	Medium/Amber : 8-12
		Low/Green : 1-6
Target Risk		High/Red : 15-25
	risk will be equal to or lower than the current risk. As with the current risk, the target risk should be	Medium/Amber : 8-12
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Low/Green : 1-7
	and risk exposure matrix tabs for further details.	
Strength of Controls	Controls are repeatable actions which are taken to reduce the level of risk which SWB faces. They are	E.g. Amber - Some gaps in controls or assurances
	designed to reduce the likelihood of a risk occurring and/or reduce the impact of the risk, should it	
	happen. Within the context of the BAF, the strength of controls to ensure the corporate objective can be	
	met should be assessed with RAGs of Green (no gaps), Amber (some gaps) or Red (significant gaps).	
Strength of Assurance	There are many sources of assurance across SWB, including but not limited to the provider's internal	E.g. Amber - Some gaps in controls or assurances
	audit function. The role of internal audit is to provide an independent and objective opinion to the	
	provider's Board on the adequacy and functioning of the system of internal control for the provider. The	
	strength of assurance over the controls to ensure the corporate objective can be met should be assessed	
	with RAGs of Green (no gaps), Amber (some gaps) or Red (significant gaps).	
Key Performance Indicators	Metrics and/or indicators that are used to measure the extent to which the corporate objective is	This will be specific to each SWB, but an example might be 'bed
	expected to be met/achieved.	occupancy', 'sickness rate' and/or 'mandatory training'.

Fields	Guidance	Example
Executive Owner	Role that is accountable for the delivery of the specific corporate objective.	E.g. Name and Role.
Corporate / Strategic Objective	Corporate / Strategic objectives are the in year or longer-term goals for SWB. They are often set by top management and they will provide the focus for setting more detailed objectives for the functional activities carried out across the provider.	This will be specific to SWB, but an example might be 'To be a centre of excellence for research and innovation, education and specialist services'.
Overseeing Committee	Assurance or management committee responsible for overseeing that the relevant corporate objective is met and/or relevant risks are being managed. This is likely to be Audit and/or Risk Management Committee if the provider has these in its committee governance structure.	
Date of last Committee review	Date of last Committee review.	E.g. Date (Month/Year).
Key performance indicators	Metrics and/or indicators that are used to measure the extent to which the corporate objective is expected to be met/achieved.	This will be specific to SWB, but an example might be 'bed occupancy', 'sickness rate' and/or 'mandatory training'.
Significant risks	Material risks that may threaten SWB from meeting the corporate objective. The risk title and description should be clearly recorded. Each risk description should outline the risk event, the cause(s) and the impact or consequence that could result from the reasonable worst-case scenario of the risk. Where possible, risk descriptions should be formulated as "There is a risk that < risk event> as a result of < cause> which may lead to < impact>."	E.g. "There is a risk that SWB may not be able to recruit, retain and efficiently deploy the required resource, as a result of a failure to attract the right talent, which may lead to poor patient outcomes and increased regulatory scrutiny."
Associated risks on CRR	Reference made to the applicable risks as recorded within the Corporate Risk Register (CRR).	This will be specific to SWB.
Key controls	Controls are repeatable actions which are taken to reduce the level of risk which SWB faces. They are designed to reduce the likelihood of a risk occurring and/or reduce the impact of the risk, should it happen. These are the controls that make delivery of the corporate objective more likely.	E.g. "The HR department ensures that all prospective employees and contingent workers successfully pass employability checks, which include obtaining satisfactory references, identification, Right to Work and Reside information, financial and fraud screening checks."
Key assurances	Assurances relating to the effectiveness of controls. The three lines of defence model is an accepted regulated framework designed to facilitate an effective risk management system. This model is used across the public and private sector because it provides a standardised and comprehensive risk management process that clarifies roles, reduces cost and reduces effort. Different departments play a distinct role within this model.	E.g. Internal audit independent review of SWB's recruitment and pre- employment check procedure.
Significant control gaps	Gaps or weaknesses in the control environment that are required in order for SWB to meet its corporate objective.	E.g. x% of SWB's front-line employees do not have right to work in the UK.
Further assurance required	Further assurance over the control environment required due to gaps or weaknesses in the control environment.	E.g. Internal audit independent review of SWB's recruitment and preemployment check procedure.
Risk assessment	The Risk assessment should cover an inherent risk assessment, residual risk assessment and target risk assessment.  - The inherent risk is the level of risk without the effect of controls. Usually, this is at the point that the risk was originally identified and assessed.  - The residual risk is the level of risk that remains after efforts to identify and eliminate some or all of the risk.  - The target risk is the level of risk that the provider wishes to achieve and/or accept. Generally, the target risk will be equal to or lower than the current risk.  All these risks assessments should be shown as a risk score, based upon multiplying the consequence by likelihood. See the risk scoring matrix and risk exposure matrix tabs for further details.	High/Red: 15-25 Medium/Amber: 8-12 Low/Green: 1-6
Strength of controls	Controls are repeatable actions which are taken to reduce the level of risk which SWB faces. They are designed to reduce the likelihood of a risk occurring and/or reduce the impact of the risk, should it happen. Within the context of the BAF, the strength of controls to ensure the corporate objective can be met should be assessed with RAGs of Green (no gaps), Amber (some gaps) or Red (significant gaps).	E.g. Amber - Some gaps in controls or assurances
Strength of assurance	There are many sources of assurance across SWB, including but not limited to the provider's internal audit function. The role of internal audit is to provide an independent and objective opinion to the provider's Board on the adequacy and functioning of the system of internal control for the provider. The strength of assurance over the controls to ensure the corporate objective can be met should be assessed with RAGs of Green (no gaps), Amber (some gaps) or Red (significant gaps).	E.g. Amber - Some gaps in controls or assurances
Actions to achieve objective	Actions to ensure risks are managed to meet the corporate / strategic objective should be set out. These actions should also include the lead person responsible for the action, the target date for the completion of the action and progress updates.	This will be specific to SWB.
Additional actions	Actions to ensure risk controls are optimised to ensure risks are being managed effectively. These actions should also include the lead person responsible for the action, the target date for the completion of the action and progress updates.	This will be specific to SWB.

## **Risk Assessment Matrix**



		LIKELIHOOD							
CONSEQUENCE	Rare	Unlikely	Possible	Likely	Almost Certain				
00.101401.1101	1	2	3	4	5				
5 Catastrophic	5	10	15	20	25				
4 Major	4	8	12	16	20				
3 Moderate	3	6	9	12	15				
2 Minor	2	4	6	8	10				
1 Insignificant	1	2	3	4	5				



### HEATMAP

	Likelihood										
Consequence	Rare 1	Unlikely 2	Possible 3	Likely 4	Almost Certain 5						
5 Catastrophic	5	10	15	20	25						
4 Major	4	8		16 BAF 001 BAF 003 BAF 005	20 BAF 002						
3 Moderate	3	6	9	12 BAF 004	15						
2 Minor	2	4	6	8	10						
1 Insignificant	1	2	3	4	5						