





Sandwell and West Birmingham NHS Trust Board Committee Chair's Report

Meeting:	MMUH Opening Committee		
Chair:	Mick Laverty		
Dates:	Friday 27th January 2023 and 24 th February 2023		
Present:	Name	25 th January 2023	24th February 2023
	Mick Laverty, Non-Executive Director (Chair)	Attended	Attended
	Mike Hallissey, Asso Non-Executive Director (Member)	Attended	Attended
	Rachel Barlow, Chief Development Officer (Member)	Attended	Attended
	Frieza Mahmood, Chief People Officer (Member)	Attended	Apologies
	Richard Beeken, Chief Executive (Member)	Attended	Attended
	Rachel Hardy, Non-Executive Director (Member)	Attended	Apologies
	Jo Wass, Asso Non-Executive Director (Member)	Attended	Apologies
	Jo Newens, Chief Operating Officer (Member)	Attended	Apologies
	Clare Shipton, Programme Director MMUH (Member)	Attended	Attended
	Liam Kennedy, Delivery Director (Member)	Apologies	Attended
	Mark Anderson, Chief Medical Officer	Apologies	Apologies
	Dan Conway, Associate Director of Corporate Governance	Attended	Attended
	Mel Roberts, Chief Nurse Nursing Officer	Apologies	Apologies
	Warren Grigg, Director of Estates Development	Attended	N/a
	Simon Sheppard, Director of Operational Finance	Attended	Attended
	Dave Baker, Chief Strategy Officer	Attended	Apologies

^{*} See Reading Room for assurance classification

Riana Relihan, NHSE Representative	Attended	Attended
Kevin Bolger, MMUH Advisor	Attended	Attended
Dinah McLannahan, Chief Finance Officer	Attended	Apologies
Tammy Davies, Group Director (PCCT)	Attended	N/a
Ruth Wilkin, Executive Director of Communications	Attended	Apologies
Meagan Fernandes, Deputy Chief People Officer	N/a	Attended
Sarah Carr-Cave, Deputy Chief Nurse	N/a	Attended
Demetri Wade, Deputy Chief Operating Officer	N/a	Attended

27th January 2023					
1.	BAF				
	Chair's opinion:	Partial			
	• Workforce workstream – maturity assessment and leadership capacity (this has been a concern for a significant period and has not/is not being addressed);	Assurance			
	MMUH bed fit and right sizing of community services; and				
	Construction – practical completion slipping.				
2.	Programme Risk Register				
	Chair's opinion:	Partial			
	 Comprehensive approach in place. Currently 113 risks identified, including 15 programme level risks. 	Assurance			
	Concerns include the three issues identified above (BAF section).				
3.	Workstream Maturity Assessment				
	Chair's opinion:	Reasonable			
	Good progress assessing the maturity of each of the ten workstreams. The assessments essentially answer the questions: do we know what we need to do by	Assurance			
	when? and do we have the resources needed to deliver the workstream? (They are not an assessment of workstream progress – section below covers that).				
	Workforce remains a concern.				
4.	MMUH PMO Progress Report				
	Chair's opinion:	Partial			
	Overall programme remains Red.	Assurance			
	6/10 workstreams are Red, 3/10 Amber and 1/10 Green.				
	Affordability rated Amber – but queried by Committee and being reviewed. Affordability rated Amber – but queried by Committee and being reviewed.				
	Construction and Workforce workstreams present greatest risk/challenge. (& Affordability – subject to review and outcome of meetings with ICBs).				
5.	MMUH Internal Communications Plan				
	Chair's opinion:	Reasonable			
	Good progress – but in part, reliant on Workforce workstream.	Assurance			
	Communications is only Green workstream.				
	Urgent Treatment Centre OBC				

6.							
	Development of a full business caUTC needs to be integrated (aest	• •			Assurance		
_		Hetically) with Minion.			-		
7.	Logistics project mobilisation				_		
	Chair's opinion:				Reasonable		
	Noted.				Assurance		
8.	MMUH metrics						
	Chair's opinion:				Partial		
		duction figures, showing progress was overstated	l. Bed gap believed to be 20 beds (not 10 as previ	ously thought).	Assurance		
9.	Work ongoing to bottom this out Recruitment and resourcing - dashboard	and produce a simple/reliable tracker.					
٥.	Chair's opinion:	слесрионтероге			Partial		
	Noted.				Assurance		
	 Tracker to be improved and to in 	clude local employment for benefit realisation pu	ırposes.				
10.	Organisational Development Status Repo	ort					
	Chair's opinion:				No		
		f leadership and delivery capacity.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Assurance		
	This is now a serious concern.						
11.	MMUH Programme Company Budget Rep	port					
	Chair's opinion:	gap remains. Currently sized as c£70m of the over	all Trust deficit of c5125m. But figures liable to a	hango/increase	Partial Assurance		
	Conversations being held with IC	•	an Trust deficit of CE123III. But figures hable to ci	nange/increase.	Assurance		
12.							
	Chair's opinion:				Partial		
	Rapid progress being made now PwC have started. Assurance						
	First outputs due at next MMUH Committee.						
Pos	tive highlights of note	Matters of concern or key risks to escalate	Matters presented for information or noting	Actions agreed			
		Workforce workstream is making	-	Supported development of full			
•			•	 Supported development of full business case for UTC. 			
		little/no progress and this has been the case for a significant period of time. The		business case for OTC.			
		Trusts readiness to move into MMUH is					
		being/will be impacted as a result.					
		Affordability still a concern.					
		,					
		Reduction in beds – gap still exists.					

Target risk as at April 2024 to be reviewed. Areas of concern/risk profile under review: Workforce workstream – continuing lack of progress; MMUH bed fit (gap now at 33 beds) external review commissioned; and Construction – further slippage. One a more positive note, the Benefit realisation workstream is making good progress and discussions have commenced with the two ICBs re securing funding (Affordability workstream). Programme Risk Register Chair's opinion: Sixteen programme level risks – which mirror (in large part) PMO concerns (see item 3 below). Discussion held re' (Integration' – one of the top ten programme risks. Agreement to keep revisiting this risk as workstream activity (constantly) evolves. MMUH PMO Progress Report Chair's opinion: Metrics/KPis still need developing for each workstreams rated RED, three AMBER and one GREEN. Metrics/KPis still need developing for each workstream to make workstream performance assessments more objective. Chairles opinion: Workforce workstream remains RED, a status that has not changed for over 12 months. A lack of leadership for this workstream is causing significant issues and detriment to other workstreams and the overall programme. External review commissioned to recommend the way forward re Recruitment, Management of Change and Organisational Development. Something needs to change. Independent Assurance/ PAR review process Chair's opinion: Bill in discussions with NHP re sourcing/agreeing external assurance. Reaching Report Chair's opinion: Good progress being made. Benefits continue to be refined and shared with stakeholders. Discussion around 'optimism bias' discount factors and agreement that it is better to take a cautious approach. Noted that the reduction in beds drives the most significant (by value) amount of revenue benefits (currently c£450m) and not meeting the bed reduction target	BAF	
Areas of concern/risk profile under review: Workforce workstream — continuing lack of progress; MMUH bed fit (gap now at 33 beds) external review commissioned; and Construction — further slippage. One a more positive note, the Benefit realisation workstream is making good progress and discussions have commenced with the two ICBs re securing funding (Affordability workstream). Programme Risk Register Chair's opinion: Discussion held re 'Integration' — one of the top ten programme risks. Agreement to keep revisiting this risk as workstream activity (constantly) evolves. MMUH PMO Progress Report Assura Overall Programme remains RED, with five workstreams rated RED, three AMBER and one GREEN. Metrics/KPIs still need developing for each workstream to make workstream performance assessments more objective. Challege re MMUH Finance and Commercial workstream (previously called Affordability) showing as AMBER given the need to secure ICB and national funding for MMUH for 2023/24. Workforce workstream remains RED, a status that has not changed for over 12 months. A lack of leadership for this workstream is causing significant issues and detriment to other workstreams and the overall programme. External review commissioned to recommend the way forward re Recruitment, Management of Change and Organisational Development. Something needs to change. Chair's opinion: Benefits Report Chair's opinion: Good progress being made. Benefits continue to be refined and shared with stakeholders. Discussion around 'optimism bias' discount factors and agreement that it is better to take a cautious approach. Noted that the reduction in beds drives the most significant that it is better to take a cautious approach. Noted that the reduction in beds drives the most significant (by value) amount of revenue benefits (currently c£450m) and not meeting the bed reduction target	Chair's opinion:	Partial
Workforce workstream – continuing lack of progress; MMUH bed fit (gap now at 33 beds) external review commissioned; and Construction – further slippage. One a more positive note, the Benefit realisation workstream is making good progress and discussions have commenced with the two ICBs re securing funding (Affordability workstream). Programme Risk Register Chair's opinion: Sixteen programme level risks – which mirror (in large part) PMO concerns (see item 3 below). Discussion held re 'integration' – one of the top ten programme risks. Agreement to keep revisiting this risk as workstream activity (constantly) evolves. MMUH PMO Progress Report Chair's opinion: Overall Programme remains RED, with five workstreams rated RED, three AMBER and one GREEN. Chailenge re MMUH Finance and Commercial workstream to make workstream performance assessments more objective. Chailenge re MMUH Finance and Commercial workstream (previously called Affordability) showing as AMBER given the need to secure ICB and national funding for MMUH for 2023/24. Workforce workstream remains RED, a status that has not changed for over 12 months. A lack of leadership for this workstream is causing significant issues and detriment to other workstream and the overall programme. External review commissioned to recommend the way forward re Recruitment, Management of Change and Organisational Development. Something needs to change. Independent Assurance/ PAR review process Chair's opinion: © Still in discussions with NHP re sourcing/agreeing external assurance. Programme Resonance of the top tended and shared with stakeholders. Discussion around 'optimism bias' discount factors and agreement that it is better to take a cautious approach. Noted that the reduction in beds drives the most significant (by value) amount of revenue benefits (currently c£450m) and not meeting the bed reduction target	Target risk as at April 2024 to be reviewed.	Assurance
MMUH bed fit (gap now at 33 beds) external review commissioned; and Construction – further slippage. One a more positive note, the Benefit realisation workstream is making good progress and discussions have commenced with the two ICBs re securing funding (Affordability workstream). Programme Risk Register Chair's opinion: Sixteen programme level risks – which mirror (in large part) PMO concerns (see item 3 below). Discussion held re 'Integration' – one of the top ten programme risks. Agreement to keep revisiting this risk as workstream activity (constantly) evolves. MMUH PMO Progress Report Chair's opinion: Overall Programme remains RED, with five workstreams rated RED, three AMBER and one GREEN. Metrics/KPIs still need developing for each workstream (previously called Affordability) showing as AMBER given the need to secure ICB and national funding for MMUH for 2023/24. Workforce workstream remains RED, a status that has not changed for over 12 months. A lack of leadership for this workstream is causing significant issues and detriment to other workstreams and the overall programme. External review commissioned to recommend the way forward re Recruitment, Management of Change and Organisational Development. Something needs to change. Independent Assurance/ PAR review process Chair's opinion: Still in discussions with NHP re sourcing/agreeing external assurance. N/a Benefits Report Chair's opinion: Good progress being made. Benefits continue to be refined and shared with stakeholders. Discussion around 'optimism bias' discount factors and agreement that it is better to take a cautious approach. Noted that the reduction in beds drives the most significant (by value) amount of revenue benefits (currently c£450m) and not meeting the bed reduction target	Areas of concern/risk profile under review:	
Construction – further slippage. One a more positive note, the Benefit realisation workstream is making good progress and discussions have commenced with the two ICBs re securing funding (Affordability workstream). Programme Risk Register Chair's opinion: Sixteen programme level risks – which mirror (in large part) PMO concerns (see item 3 below). Discussion held re 'Integration' – one of the top ten programme risks. Agreement to keep revisiting this risk as workstream activity (constantly) evolves. MMUH PMO Progress Report Chair's opinion: Overall Programme remains RED, with five workstreams rated RED, three AMBER and one GREEN. Metrics/KPIs still need developing for each workstream to make workstream performance assessments more objective. Challenge re MMUH Finance and Commercial workstream (previously called Affordability) showing as AMBER given the need to secure ICB and national funding for MMUH for 2023/24. Workforce workstream remains RED, a status that has not changed for over 12 months. A lack of leadership for this workstream is causing significant issues and detriment to other workstreams and the overall programme. External review commissioned to recommend the way forward re Recruitment, Management of Change and Organisational Development. Something needs to change. Independent Assurance/ PAR review process Chair's opinion: Still in discussions with NHP re sourcing/agreeing external assurance. N/a Still in discussions with NHP re sourcing/agreeing external assurance. Preason Assura	Workforce workstream – continuing lack of progress;	
One a more positive note, the Benefit realisation workstream is making good progress and discussions have commenced with the two ICBs re securing funding (Affordability workstream). Programme Risk Register Chair's opinion: Sixteen programme level risks — which mirror (in large part) PMO concerns (see item 3 below). Discussion held re 'Integration' — one of the top ten programme risks. Agreement to keep revisiting this risk as workstream activity (constantly) evolves. MMUH PMO Progress Report Chair's opinion: Overall Programme remains RED, with five workstreams rated RED, three AMBER and one GREEN. Metrics/KPIs still need developing for each workstream to make workstream performance assessments more objective. Challenge re MMUH Finance and Commercial workstream (previously called Affordability) showing as AMBER given the need to secure ICB and national funding for MMUH for 2023/24. Workforce workstream remains RED, a status that has not changed for over 12 months. A lack of leadership for this workstream is causing significant issues and detriment to other workstreams and the overall programme. External review commissioned to recommend the way forward re Recruitment, Management of Change and Organisational Development. Something needs to change. Independent Assurance/ PAR review process Chair's opinion: Still in discussions with NHP re sourcing/agreeing external assurance. N/a Reason Assura Reason Assura Programme Risks Register Chair's opinion: Good progress being made. Benefits continue to be refined and shared with stakeholders. Discussion around 'optimism bias' discount factors and agreement that it is better to take a cautious approach. Noted that the reduction in beds drives the most significant (by value) amount of revenue benefits (currently c6450m) and not meeting the bed reduction target	MMUH bed fit (gap now at 33 beds) external review commissioned; and	
(Affordability workstream). Programme Risk Register Assuration: Sixteen programme level risks – which mirror (in large part) PMO concerns (see item 3 below). Discussion held re 'Integration' – one of the top ten programme risks. Agreement to keep revisiting this risk as workstream activity (constantly) evolves. MMUH PMO Progress Report Assuration: Overall Programme remains RED, with five workstreams rated RED, three AMBER and one GREEN. Metrics/KPIs still need developing for each workstream to make workstream performance assessments more objective. Challenge re MMUH Finance and Commercial workstream (previously called Affordability) showing as AMBER given the need to secure ICB and national funding for MMUH for 2023/24. Workforce workstream remains RED, a status that has not changed for over 12 months. A lack of leadership for this workstream is causing significant issues and detriment to other workstreams and the overall programme. External review commissioned to recommend the way forward re Recruitment, Management of Change and Organisational Development. Something needs to change. Independent Assurance/ PAR review process Chair's opinion: Still in discussions with NHP re sourcing/agreeing external assurance. N/a Reason Assuration Programme Risks Register Assuration: Assuration: Particution: Assuration: Assuration: Assuration: Assuration: Particution: Assuration: Assurat	Construction – further slippage.	
Programme Risk Register Chair's opinion: Sixteen programme level risks — which mirror (in large part) PMO concerns (see item 3 below). Discussion held re 'Integration' — one of the top ten programme risks. Agreement to keep revisiting this risk as workstream activity (constantly) evolves. MMUH PMO Progress Report Chair's opinion: Wetrics/KPIs still need developing for each workstream to make workstream performance assessments more objective. Chaillenge re MMUH Finance and Commercial workstream (previously called Affordability) showing as AMBER given the need to secure ICB and national funding for MMUH for 2023/24. Workforce workstream remains RED, a status that has not changed for over 12 months. A lack of leadership for this workstream is causing significant issues and detriment to other workstreams and the overall programme. External review commissioned to recommend the way forward re Recruitment, Management of Change and Organisational Development. Something needs to change. Independent Assurance/ PAR review process Chair's opinion: Still in discussions with NHP re sourcing/agreeing external assurance. N/a Reason Assura Positions opinion: Good progress being made. Benefits continue to be refined and shared with stakeholders. Discussion around 'optimism bias' discount factors and agreement that it is better to take a cautious approach. Noted that the reduction in beds drives the most significant (by value) amount of revenue benefits (currently c£450m) and not meeting the bed reduction target	One a more positive note, the Benefit realisation workstream is making good progress and discussions have commenced with the two ICBs re securing funding	
Chair's opinion: Sixteen programme level risks – which mirror (in large part) PMO concerns (see item 3 below). Discussion held re 'Integration' – one of the top ten programme risks. Agreement to keep revisiting this risk as workstream activity (constantly) evolves. MMUH PMO Progress Report Chair's opinion: Overall Programme remains RED, with five workstreams rated RED, three AMBER and one GREEN. Metrics/KPIs still need developing for each workstream to make workstream performance assessments more objective. Chailenge re MMUH Finance and Commercial workstream (previously called Affordability) showing as AMBER given the need to secure ICB and national funding for MMUH for 2023/24. Workforce workstream remains RED, a status that has not changed for over 12 months. A lack of leadership for this workstream is causing significant issues and detriment to other workstreams and the overall programme. External review commissioned to recommend the way forward re Recruitment, Management of Change and Organisational Development. Something needs to change. Independent Assurance/ PAR review process Chair's opinion: Still in discussions with NHP re sourcing/agreeing external assurance. N/a Reason Assura Partia. Assura Assura Assura Partia. Assura Ass	(Affordability workstream).	
Sixteen programme level risks – which mirror (in large part) PMO concerns (see item 3 below). Discussion held re 'Integration' – one of the top ten programme risks. Agreement to keep revisiting this risk as workstream activity (constantly) evolves. MMUH PMO Progress Report Chair's opinion: Overall Programme remains RED, with five workstreams rated RED, three AMBER and one GREEN. Metrics/KPIs still need developing for each workstream to make workstream performance assessments more objective. Challenge re MMUH Finance and Commercial workstream (previously called Affordability) showing as AMBER given the need to secure ICB and national funding for MMUH for 2023/24. Workforce workstream remains RED, a status that has not changed for over 12 months. A lack of leadership for this workstream is causing significant issues and detriment to other workstreams and the overall programme. External review commissioned to recommend the way forward re Recruitment, Management of Change and Organisational Development. Something needs to change. Independent Assurance/ PAR review process Chair's opinion: Still in discussions with NHP re sourcing/agreeing external assurance. N/a Reason Assura Particulary Assura Assura Assura Assura Assura Assura Discussion around 'optimism bias' discount factors and agreement that it is better to take a cautious approach. Noted that the reduction in beds drives the most significant (by value) amount of revenue benefits (currently c£450m) and not meeting the bed reduction target	Programme Risk Register	
Discussion held re 'Integration' – one of the top ten programme risks. Agreement to keep revisiting this risk as workstream activity (constantly) evolves. MMUH PMO Progress Report Chair's opinion: Overall Programme remains RED, with five workstreams rated RED, three AMBER and one GREEN. Metrics/kPIs still need developing for each workstream to make workstream performance assessments more objective. Chailenge re MMUH Finance and Commercial workstream (previously called Affordability) showing as AMBER given the need to secure ICB and national funding for MMUH for 2023/24. Workforce workstream remains RED, a status that has not changed for over 12 months. A lack of leadership for this workstream is causing significant issues and detriment to other workstreams and the overall programme. External review commissioned to recommend the way forward re Recruitment, Management of Change and Organisational Development. Something needs to change. Independent Assurance/ PAR review process Chair's opinion: Still in discussions with NHP re sourcing/agreeing external assurance. Poiscussion around 'optimism bias' discount factors and agreement that it is better to take a cautious approach. Discussion around 'optimism bias' discount factors and agreement that it is better to take a cautious approach. Noted that the reduction in beds drives the most significant (by value) amount of revenue benefits (currently c£450m) and not meeting the bed reduction target		Partia
MMUH PMO Progress Report Chair's opinion: Overall Programme remains RED, with five workstreams rated RED, three AMBER and one GREEN. Metrics/KPIs still need developing for each workstream to make workstream performance assessments more objective. Challenge re MMUH Finance and Commercial workstream (previously called Affordability) showing as AMBER given the need to secure ICB and national funding for MMUH for 2023/24. Workforce workstream remains RED, a status that has not changed for over 12 months. A lack of leadership for this workstream is causing significant issues and detriment to other workstreams and the overall programme. External review commissioned to recommend the way forward re Recruitment, Management of Change and Organisational Development. Something needs to change. Independent Assurance/ PAR review process Chair's opinion: Still in discussions with NHP re sourcing/agreeing external assurance. N/a Benefits Report Chair's opinion: Good progress being made. Benefits continue to be refined and shared with stakeholders. Discussion around 'optimism bias' discount factors and agreement that it is better to take a cautious approach. Noted that the reduction in beds drives the most significant (by value) amount of revenue benefits (currently c£450m) and not meeting the bed reduction target		Assuran
Chair's opinion: Overall Programme remains RED, with five workstreams rated RED, three AMBER and one GREEN. Metrics/RPIs still need developing for each workstream to make workstream performance assessments more objective. Challenge re MMUH Finance and Commercial workstream (previously called Affordability) showing as AMBER given the need to secure ICB and national funding for MMUH for 2023/24. Workforce workstream remains RED, a status that has not changed for over 12 months. A lack of leadership for this workstream is causing significant issues and detriment to other workstreams and the overall programme. External review commissioned to recommend the way forward re Recruitment, Management of Change and Organisational Development. Something needs to change. Independent Assurance/ PAR review process Chair's opinion: Still in discussions with NHP re sourcing/agreeing external assurance. N/a Benefits Report Chair's opinion: Good progress being made. Benefits continue to be refined and shared with stakeholders. Discussion around 'optimism bias' discount factors and agreement that it is better to take a cautious approach. Noted that the reduction in beds drives the most significant (by value) amount of revenue benefits (currently c£450m) and not meeting the bed reduction target	• Discussion held re 'Integration' – one of the top ten programme risks. Agreement to keep revisiting this risk as workstream activity (constantly) evolves.	
 Overall Programme remains RED, with five workstreams rated RED, three AMBER and one GREEN. Metrics/KPIs still need developing for each workstream to make workstream performance assessments more objective. Challenge re MMUH Finance and Commercial workstream (previously called Affordability) showing as AMBER given the need to secure ICB and national funding for MMUH for 2023/24. Workforce workstream remains RED, a status that has not changed for over 12 months. A lack of leadership for this workstream is causing significant issues and detriment to other workstreams and the overall programme. External review commissioned to recommend the way forward re Recruitment, Management of Change and Organisational Development. Something needs to change. Independent Assurance/ PAR review process Chair's opinion: Still in discussions with NHP re sourcing/agreeing external assurance. Benefits Report Chair's opinion: Good progress being made. Benefits continue to be refined and shared with stakeholders. Discussion around 'optimism bias' discount factors and agreement that it is better to take a cautious approach. Noted that the reduction in beds drives the most significant (by value) amount of revenue benefits (currently c£450m) and not meeting the bed reduction target 	MMUH PMO Progress Report	
 Metrics/KPIs still need developing for each workstream to make workstream performance assessments more objective. Challenge re MMUH Finance and Commercial workstream (previously called Affordability) showing as AMBER given the need to secure ICB and national funding for MMUH for 2023/24. Workforce workstream remains RED, a status that has not changed for over 12 months. A lack of leadership for this workstream is causing significant issues and detriment to other workstreams and the overall programme. External review commissioned to recommend the way forward re Recruitment, Management of Change and Organisational Development. Something needs to change. Independent Assurance/ PAR review process Chair's opinion: Still in discussions with NHP re sourcing/agreeing external assurance. Benefits Report Chair's opinion: Good progress being made. Benefits continue to be refined and shared with stakeholders. Discussion around 'optimism bias' discount factors and agreement that it is better to take a cautious approach. Noted that the reduction in beds drives the most significant (by value) amount of revenue benefits (currently c£450m) and not meeting the bed reduction target 		Partia
 Challenge re MMUH Finance and Commercial workstream (previously called Affordability) showing as AMBER given the need to secure ICB and national funding for MMUH for 2023/24. Workforce workstream remains RED, a status that has not changed for over 12 months. A lack of leadership for this workstream is causing significant issues and detriment to other workstreams and the overall programme. External review commissioned to recommend the way forward re Recruitment, Management of Change and Organisational Development. Something needs to change. Independent Assurance/ PAR review process Chair's opinion: Still in discussions with NHP re sourcing/agreeing external assurance. Benefits Report Chair's opinion: Good progress being made. Benefits continue to be refined and shared with stakeholders. Discussion around 'optimism bias' discount factors and agreement that it is better to take a cautious approach. Noted that the reduction in beds drives the most significant (by value) amount of revenue benefits (currently c£450m) and not meeting the bed reduction target 		Assuran
for MMUH for 2023/24. Workforce workstream remains RED, a status that has not changed for over 12 months. A lack of leadership for this workstream is causing significant issues and detriment to other workstreams and the overall programme. External review commissioned to recommend the way forward re Recruitment, Management of Change and Organisational Development. Something needs to change. Independent Assurance/ PAR review process Chair's opinion: Still in discussions with NHP re sourcing/agreeing external assurance. Phase of the programme of the way forward re Recruitment, Management of Change and Organisational Development. Something needs to change. N/a Still in discussions with NHP re sourcing/agreeing external assurance. Phase of the programme of the way forward re Recruitment, Management of Change and Organisational Development. Something needs to change. N/a Still in discussions with NHP re sourcing/agreeing external assurance. Phase of the programme of the way forward re Recruitment, Management of Change and Organisational Development. Something needs to change. N/a Reason Assura Programme of the way forward re Recruitment, Management of Change and Organisational Development. Something needs to change. N/a Reason Assura Reason Assura Reason Assura Reason Assura Phase of the programme of the programme of the programme of the programment of the program		
detriment to other workstreams and the overall programme. External review commissioned to recommend the way forward re Recruitment, Management of Change and Organisational Development. Something needs to change. Independent Assurance/ PAR review process Chair's opinion: Still in discussions with NHP re sourcing/agreeing external assurance. N/a Chair's opinion: Good progress being made. Benefits continue to be refined and shared with stakeholders. Discussion around 'optimism bias' discount factors and agreement that it is better to take a cautious approach. Noted that the reduction in beds drives the most significant (by value) amount of revenue benefits (currently c£450m) and not meeting the bed reduction target		
Chair's opinion: Still in discussions with NHP re sourcing/agreeing external assurance. **Chair's opinion: Good progress being made. Benefits continue to be refined and shared with stakeholders. Discussion around 'optimism bias' discount factors and agreement that it is better to take a cautious approach. Noted that the reduction in beds drives the most significant (by value) amount of revenue benefits (currently c£450m) and not meeting the bed reduction target		
Independent Assurance/ PAR review process Chair's opinion: Still in discussions with NHP re sourcing/agreeing external assurance. Benefits Report Chair's opinion: Good progress being made. Benefits continue to be refined and shared with stakeholders. Discussion around 'optimism bias' discount factors and agreement that it is better to take a cautious approach. Noted that the reduction in beds drives the most significant (by value) amount of revenue benefits (currently c£450m) and not meeting the bed reduction target		
Chair's opinion: Still in discussions with NHP re sourcing/agreeing external assurance. Benefits Report Chair's opinion: Good progress being made. Benefits continue to be refined and shared with stakeholders. Discussion around 'optimism bias' discount factors and agreement that it is better to take a cautious approach. Noted that the reduction in beds drives the most significant (by value) amount of revenue benefits (currently c£450m) and not meeting the bed reduction target		
 Still in discussions with NHP re sourcing/agreeing external assurance. Benefits Report Chair's opinion: Good progress being made. Benefits continue to be refined and shared with stakeholders. Discussion around 'optimism bias' discount factors and agreement that it is better to take a cautious approach. Noted that the reduction in beds drives the most significant (by value) amount of revenue benefits (currently c£450m) and not meeting the bed reduction target 	<u> </u>	
Benefits Report Chair's opinion: Good progress being made. Benefits continue to be refined and shared with stakeholders. Discussion around 'optimism bias' discount factors and agreement that it is better to take a cautious approach. Noted that the reduction in beds drives the most significant (by value) amount of revenue benefits (currently c£450m) and not meeting the bed reduction target		N/a
 Chair's opinion: Good progress being made. Benefits continue to be refined and shared with stakeholders. Discussion around 'optimism bias' discount factors and agreement that it is better to take a cautious approach. Noted that the reduction in beds drives the most significant (by value) amount of revenue benefits (currently c£450m) and not meeting the bed reduction target 	Still in discussions with NHP re sourcing/agreeing external assurance.	
 Good progress being made. Benefits continue to be refined and shared with stakeholders. Discussion around 'optimism bias' discount factors and agreement that it is better to take a cautious approach. Noted that the reduction in beds drives the most significant (by value) amount of revenue benefits (currently c£450m) and not meeting the bed reduction target 	Benefits Report	
 Good progress being made. Benefits continue to be refined and shared with stakeholders. Discussion around 'optimism bias' discount factors and agreement that it is better to take a cautious approach. Noted that the reduction in beds drives the most significant (by value) amount of revenue benefits (currently c£450m) and not meeting the bed reduction target 	Chair's opinion:	Reasona
Noted that the reduction in beds drives the most significant (by value) amount of revenue benefits (currently c£450m) and not meeting the bed reduction target	Good progress being made. Benefits continue to be refined and shared with stakeholders.	Assurar
	Discussion around 'optimism bias' discount factors and agreement that it is better to take a cautious approach.	
	• Noted that the reduction in beds drives the most significant (by value) amount of revenue benefits (currently c£450m) and not meeting the bed reduction target wipes out this benefit.	

		tory so far. Noted that the pack will keep evolvin ming the 'single version of the truth' and someth	g and being updated. ing that could underpin all stakeholder discussion	ns and communications.	Partial Assurance
	MMUH and place based right sizing				
		tory so far. Noted that the pack will keep evolvin ming the 'single version of the truth' and someth	g and being updated. ing that could underpin all stakeholder discussion	ns and communications.	Partial Assurance
	Management of Change proposal				
		tory so far. Noted that the pack will keep evolvin ming the 'single version of the truth' and someth	g and being updated. ing that could underpin all stakeholder discussion	ns and communications.	Partial Assurance
	Recruitment status and forecast				
	 Chair's opinion: A good summary of the MMUH story so far. Noted that the pack will keep evolving and being updated. Discussion around the pack becoming the 'single version of the truth' and something that could underpin all stakeholder discussions and communications. 				
	MMUH Programme Company Budget Rep	port			
	 Chair's opinion: Noted. Main issue (remains) securing significant funding from national sources and the two ICBs. 				
	Developing the Smethwick UTC – Busines	ss Case			•
	 Chair's opinion: Supported. Agreed FIPC was where the business case needs to be considered and challenged/approved. 				
Posit	ive highlights of note	Matters of concern or key risks to escalate to the Board	Matters presented for information or noting	Actions agreed	
•		Workforce workstream continuing concerns. Something (radical) needs to be considered as lack of progress in this workstream is negatively impacting other workstreams and the overall programme.	•	UTC approval to be conby FIPC.	nsidered