## SANDWELL AND WEST BIRMINGHAM HOSPITALS NHS TRUST

## 2020 STRATEGIC BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK

	<del>J</del> e	Lead	Stra	ategic Ris	k Statem	ent	Board				Completion
Strategic Plan	SBAF Ref	Executive I	Risk sco	ores/quai	rterly mo	vement	Responsible Bo Committee	Controls	Assurances	Gaps and actions	on date for action
Strate		Exe	Марре	ed high le	vel risks	(if any)	Resp				raction
			There is a risk that management bandwidth does not match organisational and system wide ambition because of either recruitment or capability difficulties, leading to project delays that compromise our improvement trajectory to meet our undertakings and ambitions.			l system ecruitment p project evement	<b>+</b>	Vacancy analysis for all 8b and above roles.	Group reviews from July 19	Group reviews need to implemented – Completion by July19.	July 19
/ision		CEO		on Development	A2E PDR process for all senior leaders.	Monitored by Workforce Delivery Committee and CLE. Monitored by People and OD Committee and Board.	Need to complete all senior leaders' PDRs – Completion by July 19  Need alignment between people's objectives and organisation's objectives.	July 19			
) V	1	Lewis	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4 -	rganisation	Coaching and mentoring programme	Monitored by WDC, CLE, POD and Board.	Talent market does not match Trust's needs – Plan in place by November 19	Nov 19
2020		YdoT					People and Orgai	IQPR & Vision 2020 reporting to the Board.	Monitored by PMC, CLE, Board committees and Board.		Nov 19

	f	Lead	Strategic Risk Statement	Board				Complet
Strategic Plan	SBAF Ref	Executive L	Risk scores/quarterly movement	Responsible Bo Committee	Controls	Assurances	Gaps and <i>actions</i>	Completion date for action
Strate		Ехе	Mapped high level risks (if any)	Resp				raction
			Collapse in local care home provision arising from commercial pressures and immigration policy increases SWBH admissions and reduces patterns of discharge creating		Partnership working with LA. Active in Better Care Fund group in Sandwell who share same aim.	Progress/issues reported to Board in monthly report.		Sept 19
			pressures on acute hospital beds.		Outreach teams developed to support care homes and prevent unnecessary admissions based on pathway management. This work has received	Nursing home ED attendance avoidance project initial results for top 10 nursing homes show 25% reduction in attendances.	Need to scale up project for all nursing homes – Proposal to scale up across all homes to be completed by end Sept 19.	Sept 19
			Initial Current Target Target Risk Risk score date  April 2017 May 2019  15 9 6 May		extended funding past winter 2018.  KPIs include admission data form nursing homes.	The project is overseen by a joint Trust, council and CCG project group. Reports are received by OMC.	*No routine data feed/analysis of care home admissions mapped to each care home - Creation of data set is in development.	Oct
uo		000	(3 x 3) (3x3) (2 x 3) ()  19/20 Quarterly Movement  Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	ty			*Commissioning plan for all necessary services to support each home. Working with mental health provider Trusts to review workforce in community beds to	2019 Oct 2019
Visio	2	arlow,	Barlow, C	and Safety			support care of patients with mental health needs on a discharge pathway to nursing homes – Workforce plan to be designed and agreed end Q2.	
2020		Rachel E		Quality	Exec Lead meetings with SWBC about integrated bed model.	Reports to A&E Delivery Board  Reports to Q&S Committee	SWBC integrated bed model is not fully understood in totality of demand assumptions and capacity plans. – Meetings in late May/early June 19	June 19
						Report updates to Trust Board via CEO report	intend to clarify position. At this point the risk score may need reconsidering.  Understanding bed footprint for Wes t	
							Birmingham from BCC – A&E Board is currently liaising with BCC for this information.	Sept 19

_	<b>-</b>	Lead	Strategic Risk Statement	Board				Completion
egic Plan	SBAF Ref	Executive L	Risk scores/quarterly movement	Responsible Bo Committee	Controls	Assurances	Gaps and actions	ion date for
Strategic		Exe	Mapped high level risks (if any)	Resp				action
			There is a risk that difficulties in recruiting and retaining local GPs leads to		Working with Primary Care Networks on recruitment support.	Maritana da La cara RMO Blanca de Cara		
			fragmentation within practice and PCNs and unpredicted patterns of referral behaviour and LTC emergency care, resulting in unmet demand or need because our system is not operating to its 5 year plan.		Tracking referral behaviour closely.	Monitored by Income PMO, Planned Care Board, PMC and FIC.	Need to identify what success criteria to measure for demand and activity. ? LTFM activity plans. Review with revised FBC for Midland Met.	July 19
ision		000			Implement SPA to address emergency pathway.	Monitored by Urgent Care Board.		
> 0	3	Barlow,	Initial Current Target Target	Board	Readmission reduction plans supported by PCCT 4B now FU.	Monitored by Urgent Care Board.		
202		Rachel	Risk         Risk         score         date           April 2017         May 2019         Dec           9         9         6         2020           (3x3)         (3x3)         (2x3)           19/20 Quarterly Movement         Q1         Q2         Q3         Q4		Readmissions higher than expected in Q4 2018/19; plan to reduce by end Q2 in place.	OMC		
ision		ners, MD	There is a risk that vulnerable service improvement plans are delayed by a lack of cross organisational cohesion or pace, leading to service failures necessitating either emergency changes to service models or patients not being able to access services	Safety	Black Country STP meetings focus on potentially vulnerable services and innovative ways of running them across the footprint.	Exec Leads report to Board.	Can be difficult for Exec Lead to fit meetings in busy agenda.	
20 V	4	d Carruth	within the STP footprint.	ıality and	Monthly meetings of regional medical directors incorporating ability for one provider to assist another with a vulnerable service.	Escalation reports to CLE and Trust Board.		
20		David		Qu	Team/group staffing reports	Monitored by group boards and escalated to Operational Management Committee/CLE		

olan	F Ref	ve Lead	Strategic Risk Statement  Risk scores/quarterly movement	oonsible Board Committee	Controls	Assurances	Gans and actions	Completion d
ggic I	SBAF	Executive	hisk scores/ quarterly illovement	onsil	Controls	Assurances	Gaps and actions	ate for
Strategic Plan		Ехе	Mapped high level risks (if any)	Responsible Committ				raction
			Initial   Current   Target   Target   date		Triangulation of internal data such as incident reports, complaints, mortality reviews leading to identification of vulnerable services  Active operational risk management system which ensures no patient is left without access to service.	Monitored by group boards, Risk Management Committee, Executive Quality Committee and Operational Management Committee and escalated to CLE with reports to Board.  Monitored by Quality and Safety Committee and escalated to Board.  Escalation reports to group boards, Operational Management Committee, Executive Quality Committee and CLE.		
2020 Vision	5	Kam Dhami, Dir of Gov.	There is a risk that organisational learning does not improve with "Welearn" sufficiently to address our quality improvement ambitions, resulting in the Trust not sustaining a Good rating after 2020.		Framework for reporting risk, incidents and patient feedback is established and embedded. Incident reporting system Complaints Staff survey Friends & Family Test Clinical Audit programme Speak Up Guardian  Quality Improvement Learning Days (QILD) established and embedded.  Accreditation process established for QILD Quality Improvement Plan	Substantial assurance process is in place to monitor risk/incidents/patient feedback framework.  Quality & Safety Committee + CLE provides assurance to Board on Quality and Safety Plan	Attendance records on QI Learning	

Strategic Plan	SBAF Ref	Executive Lead	Strategic Risk Statement Risk scores/quarterly movement	Responsible Board Committee	Controls	Assurances	Gaps and <i>actions</i>	Completion date for
Strate		Exe	Mapped high level risks (if any)	Resp				action
			Initial Risk         Current Risk         Target score         Target date           May 2019         May 2019         May 2019           12         12         12         May 2020           (4x3)         (4x3)         (4x3)         (4x3)           19/20 Quarterly Movement         Q1         Q2         Q3         Q4           —         —         —         —         —		"Welearn" launched as a pilot scheme in 2018.	CQC Inspection Report. National audits.	Delivery Plan for "WeLearn" in development. KD to submit plan to Board in May 2019 for agreement  Delivery Plan will include actions around Learning Wall, Learning Hub on intranet, Learning from Excellence Programme.  Delivery Plan will identify responsible committees for monitoring the plan as well as performance measures.	May 2019
2020 Vision	6		There is a risk that we will not secure or sustain a Midland Met Final Contractor owing to approval delays, resulting in further confusion about the future model and leading to employee flight and service sustainability difficulties in acute care.    Initial   Current   Target   Target   Risk   Risk   Score   date	MPA	On-going meetings with Balfour Beatty to reach preferred bidder stage.  Dedicated Project Management Team in place supported by specialist advisors.  Regular liaison with SMBC to ensure "smooth handling" of planning/highways issues.  Fire testing approval assessment (post Grenfell) scheduled.	Daily issues managed by CEO.  Monthly CEO reports to Board.  Bi-monthly MPA monitors progress and reports to the Board.	Balfour Beatty requires time to complete their due diligence before agreeing to become the preferred bidder. The Trust is doing everything it can to prevent delay to the process.	Oct 2019

_	<b>4</b> _	Lead	Stra	ategic Ris	k Statem	ent	Board				Completion
egic Plan	SBAF Ref	Executive L	Risk sco	ores/quar	terly mo	vement	Responsible Bo Committee	Controls	Assurances	Gaps and actions	date
Strategic		Exe	Марре	ed high le	vel risks	(if any)	Resp				for action
			There is a ri up to the pr resist change	racticalities ge including	of the ICP v	vision and change,		Vision 2020 strategy	Monitored by Board and reported via Annual Report.	No clear route to having an aligned team working on the Response Plan and partnership risk levels.	
UC		r P& I	-	ril our abilit to the long	bility to make changes of ong term care model our					Need to formulate Response Plan – dates in diary for meetings between 24 June and 1 <sup>st</sup> September 19. Response plan then to go back to HLP Board in Sept 19.	Sept 19
isior		Director	Initial Risk	Current Risk	Target score	Target date				Develop Partner Risk Levels & MOUs to	
	7		May 2019  12	May 2019  12	8	March 2020	Board			set out level of risk each partner is prepared to accept – <i>Completion by Sept 19.</i>	Sept 19
		aker,	(3 x 4)	(3 x 4)	(2 x 4)		BC	Healthy Lives Partnership weekly meetings.	Monthly Healthy Lives Partnership Board		
7		Ва		/20 Quarte					meetings. Outputs reported back to Trust		
0		<u>p</u> i	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4			Board.		
~		David		-	-	-		Clinical reference group considers clinical	Reported to Healthy Lives Partnership		
								implications of strategy.	Board.		
				corporate ri		1.1 1					
			lea	ck of Tier 4 ading to adn ediatric wa	nissions on			Stakeholder group gathers and reports stakeholder feedback for strategy.	Reported to Healthy Lives Partnership Board.		

_	ų.	Lead	Strategic Risk Statement	Board				Complet
Strategic Plan	SBAF Ref	Executive L	Risk scores/quarterly movement	Responsible Bo Committee	Controls	Assurances	Gaps and actions	Completion date for action
Strate	σ,	Exe	Mapped high level risks (if any)	Respo				raction
2020 Vision	8	Martin Sadler, CIO	There is a risk that the immediate pressures that drove the development of our Digital Plan was and is not sufficiently agile and responsive to end-user needs, resulting in a gap between intention and practice over the next three years.    Initial   Current   Target   Target   Risk   Risk   Score   date	Digital MPA	CIO in post tasked with improvement plan.	Managed by CEO; Escalations to CLE and Board.	Need a definition of where we need to be in 3 years' time in terms of technology for opening of Midland Met. – Need to create Digital Plan and achieve sign off by Digital MPA/Committee – Due End of September 2019.  Need to have built infrastructure that has flexibility and capacity to support growth of digital activity – Due to complete March 2020.  People in place with right skills – Recruitment process will be concluded by July. Successful candidates in place by September. Training & Development will commence and be completed by September 2020. Green  Processes in place to ensure that the services are sufficiently managed. – Completion anticipated by September 2019. Green  3rd party infrastructure and contracts are sufficient to support the Trust's activities. On track – due to complete at end of June 2019	

_	4.	Lead	Strategic Risk Statement	Board				Completi
Strategic Plan	SBAF Ref	Executive L	Risk scores/quarterly movement	Responsible Board Committee	Controls	Assurances	Gaps and actions	Completion date for action
Strate		Ехе	Mapped high level risks (if any)	Resp				raction
					High Level Strategy developed which outlines the Trust's digital ambitions.	Monitored by Digital MPA and overseen by Trust Board.		
					Digital Projects Plan	Reports to Digital Committee on improvements/progress on digital projects.		
					External audit report	Presented to Audit and Risk Management Committee; Escalation issues to Board.		

n	ef	Lead	Strategic Risk Statement	Board				Completion date
Strategic Plan	SBAF Ref	Executive	Risk scores/quarterly movement	Responsible Bo Committee	Controls	Assurances	Gaps and actions	on date for
Strate		Ехе	Mapped high level risks (if any)	Resp				raction
			There is a risk that our necessary level of cash backed cost reduction and income and expenditure plans are not achieved in full or		Improved reporting on income performance – daily tracking of planned and unplanned care.	Reporting of income position to Income PMO, OMC, PMC, CLE, FIC and Board.	Refresh the underlying position of the Trust and the various versions of this and link to Midland Met FBC (July FIC).	
			on time, compromising our ability to invest in essential revenue developments and interdependent capital projects.		Fortnightly Income PMO with attendance from finance, operations, and capacity	Non-pay – reported to OMC, PMC, CLE, FIC and Board.  Cash flow reported to FIC and Board.	Establish reliable reports from SCCL on procurement CIP delivery.	
Finance	9	Dinah McLannahan, DoF	Initial         Current         Target         Target           Risk         Risk         score         date           April 2017         May 2019	Finance and Investment	Improved alignment on plans and understanding of phasing differences.  Improved understanding of the impact of the nature of the contract agreement on income.  People PMO tracks delivery of the people plan to budget.	Casil now reported to Fic and Board.	Establish regular reporting as per the action and improvement plan (by end Q1).  Re-establish effective and joined up cash flow forecasting meaningfully linked to I&E delivery (by July FIC).  Establish strategy to and then develop 20/21 and 21/22 CIP from a range of data points (FIC ongoing).	
		Dir		Fir	Non-Pay plan – Procurement and non-pay improvement plan in place.  Detailed work plan in relation to local work plan savings.  Oracle improvement plan in place Cash flow in place.			

_	<b>پ</b> ـِ	Lead	Strategic Risk Statement	Board				Completion
Strategic Plan	SBAF Ref	Executive L	Risk scores/quarterly movement	Responsible Bo Committee	Controls	Assurances	Gaps and <i>actions</i>	ion date for
Strate	,	Exe	Mapped high level risks (if any)	Resp				r action
Finance	10		There is a risk that the mechanism for contracting and payment in the NHS caused by a failure of national bodies to require adoption of capitation based contracting will result in the Trust not achieving its aim to be the best integrated care provider in the NHS by not allowing money to flow freely around our local system.    Initial   Current   Target   Target   Risk   Risk   score   date	Finance and Investment	ICS Board held weekly.  STP Board attendance.  STP DoFs attendance.  APMS and other non-NHS contracts for patient care taken on for 19/20 indicating the direction of travel for the Trust.	Reporting to Board on SWB place based activities.	Strategy from the Centre unclear	
People	11	Raffaella Goodby, Dir of PoD	There is a risk that labour supply does not match our demand for high quality staff, because of low training numbers or overseas options for students, and therefore we are unable to sustain key services at satisfactory staffing levels resulting in poorer outcomes, delayed delivery or service closures.    Initial   Current   Target   Target   Risk   Risk   score   date	People and Organisational Development	Reduction in agency spend with pay spend directed at substantive pay	People and OD Delivery Committee  People Plan PMO  Clinical Group Reviews  Trust Board – Public and Private  Regular audits of safe staffing  Regional and national staffing benchmarking		

_	if	Lead	Strategic Risk Statement	Board				Completion
Strategic Plan	SBAF Ref	Executive L	Risk scores/quarterly movement	Responsible Bo Committee	Controls	Assurances	Gaps and actions	on date for
Strate		Exe	Mapped high level risks (if any)	Respo				raction
70			There is a risk that we do not create the time for our employees to develop over the next two years, and that we are less able to	_	Mandatory training statistics from Employee Staff Record (ESR)	Education Learning and Development CLE Committee		
ig and t			deliver our community based, public health focused model of care at the same time as	Organisational elopment	Completion of annual Performance and Development Review KPI	Corporate People and OD Group Reviews		
nin			opening Midland Met.	isat ent	Analysis of training spend via professional	Completion of PDR's and moderation		
ation, Learning Development	12		Initial Current Target Target Risk Risk score date	rganis opme	group and clinical group KPI's	IQPR data mandatory training		
			May 2019 May 2019	•	Financial investment in training budget	CQC inspection data		
Education, Deve			6 6 4 May 2020 (2 x 3) (2 x 2)	ole & Dev	Number of apprentices in the workforce totally 2.3%	People and OD Delivery CLE Committee		
qnc			19/20 Quarterly Movement	People De		People and OD Board Committee		
E E			Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	Pe	Effective rostering of training and development in to rosters to release staff			
			There is a risk that we do not deliver		Monitoring study leave and release  Sickness statistics are monitored through	People Plan PMO hot spot areas	Hidden mental health related absence	
			improved mental health and wellbeing across		E-Roster and through Employee Staff	reopie riaii rivio not spot areas	within other key ESR data points, e.g.	
			our workforce because our interventions are not targeted at those at prospective risk,		Record (ESR)	Group and executive review of sickness absence	MSK	
			resulting in absence and teams not being able		Return to work interviews are undertaken	absence		
alth			to deliver to their full potential.	th	Sickness absence policy	Monthly reporting to Trust Board		
eal			Initial Current Target Target	ealtk		People and OD Delivery Committee		
c He	13		Risk Risk score date  April 2017 May 2019	c He	Trade Union support and engagement	National and regional benchmarking		
Public			16 12 6 May 2020	ublic	Monthly sickness dashboard on Connect			
₾			(4 x 4) (3 x 4) (3 x 2)	۵	Reporting in People Plan PMO on sickness			
			19/20 Quarterly Movement Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4		hot sport areas.			
					People Plan theme outlining reducing sickness absence and improving health and			
					well being of the workforce			

	<u> </u>	Lead	Strategic Risk Statement	Board				Completion			
Strategic Plan	SBAF Ref	Executive L	Risk scores/quarterly movement	Responsible Bo Committee	Controls	Assurances	Gaps and actions	date			
Strate		Exe	Mapped high level risks (if any)	Resp				for action			
			There is a risk that the Trust is unable to reduce amenable mortality to the timescale set out in our plans because we do not identify interventions of sufficient heft to alter outcomes.		Management structure substantially in place to support LfD programme.  Deputy Medical Director in post  8/12 Medical Examiners in post  Medical Examiner officer post agreed.  Mortality Manager post agreed.  Admin support agreed.	Structure reports to Medical Director and oversees implementation and running of LfD programme.	3/12 Medical Examiners posts vacant — recruitment ongoing and all 3 should be in post by July 19. Medical Officer post vacant — Recruitment ongoing, should be complete by Sept 19. Mortality Manager post vacant — Recruitment complete by Sept 19.				
lity		hers, MD	Initial         Current         Target         Target           Risk         Risk         score         date           April 17         May 2019         May           15         16         12         May 2020           (5x3)         (4 x 4)         (3 x 4)           19/20 Quarterly Movement         Q1         Q2         Q3         Q4	d Safety	Learning from deaths programme in place with sub-streams set out below.	Progress monitored by LfD committee and overseen by Quality and Safety Committee. Escalation to Board.	Natural time lag between interventions and monitoring data being produced.  Feedback process to groups being developed.  Process for learning needs to be developed and embedded "Welearn" programme developed.				
Quality	14	David Carruthers,	avid	avid	avid		lity a	1. Mortality reduction plan in Quality Plan relating to Sepsis, VTE, Acute MI, Stroke, #NOF, High risk abdominal surgery and Peri-natal mortality. QI projects identified.	Monitored by LfD committee via tracking reports. Escalation reports to Q&S if problems identified.	programme developed.	
						alerts arising from clinic	2.Data analysis programme focussing on alerts arising from clinical areas and/or conditions. Coding processes improved.	Monitored by Quality and Safety Committee.	Further improvements in coding underway focusing on palliative care data, weekend admissions and site specific.		
					3.External mortality alerts from CQC or CCGs.	Received by LfD committee and overseen by Q&S.					
					4. Medical examiners are substantially in place. MEs and judgmental reviewers will provide 3 monthly analysis of amenable mortality.	Monitored by LfD and overseen by Q&S.	Morbidity/Mortality reviews by services need more support/uptake from clinicians – <i>Training scheduled for June/July 19</i> .				

_	4-	Lead	Strategic Risk Statement	Board				Completion
Strategic Plan	SBAF Ref	Executive L	Risk scores/quarterly movement	Responsible Bo Committee	Controls	Assurances	Gaps and <i>actions</i>	ion date for
Strate	O,	Exe	Mapped high level risks (if any)	Respo				or action
						Sepsis CQUIN VTE National Target MINAP data SSNAP data NHFD EmLap data		
Development			There is a risk that we are unable to achieve our qualitative and quantitative goals for research because we do not broaden the specialties that are research active, principally because we are unable to recruit personnel and provide time and infrastructure to deliver commercial, CRN,	,	Research & Development Plan. Growth of R&D activity managed through group PMO R&D plans. Data showing take up of research projects is fed back to groups driving better participation.	Monitored by Research & Development Committee. All groups are represented with rota of presentations. Escalation reports to Q&S.	We need to increase our numbers of commercial studies in order to generate income – <i>Plan in place to do this.</i>	
evel			and personal research, thus limiting research translation from science to practice.	Safety	R&D Director in place	Reports to Med Director with escalation reports to CLE.		
Research and D	15		Initial   Current   Target   Target   date	Quality & S	Active medical recruitment strategy focusing on new consultants with a research interest. University representative sits on recruitment panel. Recruitment to vacant senior posts actively pursued.	Monitored by Workforce Development Committee.	Oncology study recruitment restricted due to change in service. – Risks reviewed frequently.	

	SBAF Ref	cutive Lead							Executive Lead				-ead	ead	ead	ead.	ead	ead	ead.	ead	.ead	.ead	ead	-ead	ead.	ead.	.ead	.ead	ead.	ead	ead	ead	ead	ead.	ead.	.ead	ead	Strategic Risk Statement	Board				Completion date
Strategic Plan														Responsible Bo	Controls	Assurances	Gaps and actions	on date fo																									
Strate	G,	Exe	Mapped high level risks (if any)	Respo				for action																																			
Digital	16	Toby Lewis. CEO	There is a risk that strategic initiatives and the Trust's digital ambitions will not be achieved as a result of the unreliable Informatics infrastructure, the lack of digital/technical skills, the lack of business owner involvement or customer insight, and inappropriate third party support arrangements which may lead to a lack of faith in Informatics and a lack of timely engagement with them and the inability to achieve the improvement we are seeking.    Initial   Current   Target   Target   Risk   Risk   Score   date   April 2017   May 2019	DMPA	Monitoring of infrastructure performance via PTRG system.  Recruitment and training plan.  Third party support now provided by Gold systems support.  Performance feedback monitored through Sentinel users survey.	All controls monitored via Digital committee and CLE as well as Digital MPA and Board.																																					

	4-	Executive Lead								.ead	ead	ead	ead	.ead	.ead	.ead	ead.	ead	ead	ead	ead	Strategic Risk Statement	Board				Completion
Strategic Plan	SBAF Ref									Risk scores/quarterly movement	Responsible Bo Committee	Controls	Assurances	Gaps and <i>actions</i>	date												
Strate	0,		Mapped high level risks (if any)	Respo				for action																			
Digital	17	Rachel Barlow, COO	There is a risk that we do not automate our processes, standardise them safely and reduce errors and duplication because not all our staff develop and retain the necessary skills and confidence to optimise our new electronic patient record (Unity).    Initial   Current   Target   Target   Risk   Risk   score   date	Digital	Unity implementation plan comprising of Technical Readiness, People (development) and Go Live and Optimisation.  IT Hardware implementation plan tracked against a 14 point infrastructure plan.  Weekly tracking of end user training.  Digital champion and superuser traing designed  Workforce development plan setting out competencies/KPIs for individual staff to meet. Reporting to start in June.  Departmental readiness criteria agreed. Includes future work flow processes. Reporting to start in June.  Optimisation KPIs agreed.	Monitored by Unity Executives ( CEO, COO, Director of OD, CIO). Overseen by Digital Committee and Digital MPA  Committee reports on completeness vs planned delivery / milestones.  Tracking of data fortnightly to start in June.  Tracking of data fortnightly to start in June.	Deliver by end of July  Need to identify team competencies and tracking mechanism.  Optimisation reports to be written and tested. This is dependent on hiring analyst staff to Performance and Insight team.  Need to identify rewards/consequences regime for staff.  Need to identify trajectory for optimisation form go live to March 2020.	July 19 June 19 June 19																			

	ų	cutive Lead			Strategic Risk Statement	Board				Completion						
Strategic Plan	SBAF Ref				Executive L									Risk scores/quarterly movement	Responsible Bo Committee	Controls
Strate		Exe	Mapped high level risks (if any)	Resp				for action								
Estates	18	Toby Lewis, CEO	There is a risk that implementation of changes to commissioning in West Birmingham prevents the Trust and partners from delivering a common approach to integrated care for all patients using Midland Met resulting in operational deficiencies after the opening of the hospital.    Initial   Current   Target   Target   Risk   Risk   score   date	Board	Scale of risk identified via the Midland Met full business case.  Participation in CCG process.  Creation of two care alliances in Sandwell and West Birmingham.		Implementation structure for Midland Met integrated care unclear – Clarity to be provided by September 19  Assurance process to be identified once fbc discussed at Board.	Sept 2019. June 2019.								

_	f	ead	ead.	.ead	Lead	Strategic Risk Statement	Board				Completion
Strategic Plan	SBAF Ref	Executive L	Risk scores/quarterly movement  Mapped high level risks (if any)	Responsible Bo Committee	Controls	Assurances	Gaps and actions	ion date for action			
Estates	19	Rachel Barlow, COO	There is a risk that we are unable to sustain services on 2 sites until 2022 without service reconfiguration or investment in non-retained estate. This would compromise our ability to deliver seven day multi professional services because locational alignment is not achieved concurrently.    Initial   Current   Target   Target   Risk   Risk   score   date     April 2017   May 2019	Major Projects Authority	Workforce triggers risk assessment completed. KPIs tracked monthly.  Medical workforce development and recruitment plan over seen by Urgent Care Board.  Estates Plans for retained and non-retained estate.  7 day standard governance/compliance oversight report.  Service sustainability and reconfiguration project of acute medicine/ respiratory and older people in train with aim to deliver in autumn 2019.  Service sustainability project for paediatrics assessment and ED at City site in train.	Estates Development Committee  Urgent Care Board and Q&S Committee  Project board, Estates development and CLE.  Project board. CLE. Trust Board.	Current gap in acute medicine workforce plan. Project in train to identify solution by end July.  7 day dashboard – in prototype needs finalising  Conclusive decision on future model  Conclusive decision on future model	July 19 July 19 July 19			